

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/525,192	Applicant(s) JUNKER ET AL.	
	Examiner Richard Edgar	Art Unit 3745	

All Participants:

(1) Richard Edgar.

(2) James Zeller.

Date of Interview: 11 October 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 17:00 EST

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
28 and 29

Prior art documents discussed:
None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Examiner's amendment. "[E]lement" in claims 28 and 29 should have been presented as -- elevation --. Applicants' representative authorized the examiner to change "element" in claims 28 and 29 to -- elevation --.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)